Bioavailability and pharmacokinetic comparison of two formulations of metformin 850 mg tablets in healthy Colombian volunteers
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SUMMARY

Purpose: The aim of this study was to compare the bioavailability of two formulations of metformin 850 mg tablets: Glucophage® from Merck Santè laboratories (reference product) and Metformin from Winthrop Pharmaceuticals de Colombia SA (test product) in healthy Colombian volunteers.

Methods: A random, double blind, two-period, two-week wash out period, crossover study was performed in 24 healthy male and female volunteers for a single 850-mg dose of metformin tablets administrated with 240 ml of water after 12 hours of fasting. Once the drug was administrated, blood samples were collected before and within 24 hour, and plasma metformin concentration was determined by using a validated HPLC method. Pharmacokinetic parameters such as $C_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-96h}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$, and $T_{\text{max}}$ were determined. The formulations were considered bioequivalent if the logarithmic mean ratios of ln-transformed $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ values were within the equivalence range of 80%-125%.

Results: ANOVA analysis of the ln-transformed $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ indicated that none of the effects examined (formulation, period, within and between-subject variances and carry over) was statistically significant. The mean (±SD) of $C_{\text{max}}$ 1217.38 (± 251.72) ng/ml vs. 1305.25 (± 301.06) ng/ml, $\text{AUC}_{0-96h}$ 1363.49 (± 315.51) ng.h/ml vs. 1584.82 (± 368.75) ng.h/ml, $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$, 7155.75 (± 1440.74) ng.h/ml vs. 7777.08 (± 1896.49) ng.h/ml, and $T_{\text{max}}$ 2.57 (± 0.93) h vs. 2.22 (± 0.94) h were obtained with test and reference formulations, respectively. These pharmacokinetic parameters presented differences with the results from other published papers. The 90%
confidence interval of the logarithmic ratio of $AUC_{0-\infty}$ and $C_{\max}$ was within the range of 80-125%.

**Conclusions**: In this study in healthy Colombian volunteers, a single 850-mg dose of metformin tablet test formulation met the criteria for bioequivalence to the reference formulation based on pharmacokinetic parameters $AUC_{0-\infty}$ and $C_{\max}$.
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**Comparación de la biodisponibilidad y la farmacocinética entre dos formulaciones de tabletas de metformina de 850 mg en voluntarios colombianos sanos**

**RESUMEN**

**Objetivo**: El objetivo de este estudio es comparar la bioequivalencia de dos formulaciones de tabletas de metformina de 850 mg: Glucophage® del Laboratorio Merck Santé (producto de referencia) y metformina de Laboratorios Winthrop Pharmaceuticals de Colombia SA (producto de prueba), en voluntarios colombianos sanos.

**Métodos**: Se realizó un estudio aleatorizado, doble ciego, cruzado, en dos períodos y con un tiempo de lavado de dos semanas, en 24 voluntarios sanos, hombres y mujeres, que recibieron una dosis única de metformina de 850 mg, con 240 ml de agua, después de 12 horas de ayuno. Luego de la administración del medicamento, se recolectaron muestras de sangre durante 24 horas y las concentraciones plasmáticas de metformina se determinaron con un método de HPLC validado. Se calcularon los parámetros farmacocinéticos: $C_{\max}$, $AUC_{0-96h}$, $AUC_{0-\infty}$ y $T_{\max}$. Las formulaciones se consideraron bioequivalentes si la relación de la media transformada a ln de $C_{\max}$ y $AUC_{0-\infty}$ estaba dentro del rango de bioequivalencia de 80% a 125%.

**Resultados**: El Anova de los datos transformados a ln de $C_{\max}$ y $AUC_{0-\infty}$ indicaron que ninguno de los efectos analizados (formulación, período, variación intra e intersujetos y arrastre) fueron estadísticamente significativos. La media (±SD) de los parámetros obtenidos para los productos de prueba y de referencia, respectivamente, fueron: $C_{\max}$ 1217.38 (± 251.72) ng/ml vs. 1305.25 (± 301.06) ng/ml, $AUC_{0-96h}$ 1363.49 (± 315.51) ng.h/ml vs. 1584.82 (± 368.75) ng.h/ml, $AUC_{0-\infty}$ 7155.75 (± 1440.74) ng.h/ml vs. 7777.08 (± 1896.49) ng.h/ml, and $T_{\max}$ 2.57 (± 0.93) h vs. 2.22 (± 0.94) h. El intervalo de confianza de la relación logarítmica del $AUC_{0-\infty}$ y $C_{\max}$ se encontró dentro del rango de 80% a 125%.

**Conclusiones**: En este estudio en voluntarios sanos colombianos, la comparación de una formulación de prueba de tabletas de metformina de 850 mg, con una formulación de referencia, cumplió los criterios de bioequivalencia teniendo como base los parámetros farmacocinéticos $AUC_{0-\infty}$ and $C_{\max}$.
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now Winthrop Pharmaceuticals de Colombia SA manufactured by Sanofi–Synthelabo, Cali, Colombia, with the reference product Glucophage® from Merck Santé laboratories.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drug products. Test product (T): metformin, 850-mg tablets, owned by Winthrop Pharmaceuticals de Colombia SA manufactured by Sanofi–Synthelabo, Cali, Colombia, lot: P1320804, and containing 850 mg of the active ingredient per tablet, corresponding to 100% of the labeled quantity of metformin.

Reference product (R): Glucophage®, 850 mg tablets, owned by Merck, manufactured by Merck Santé, Lyon, France, lot: 104031, and containing 872.95 mg of the active ingredient per tablet, corresponding to the 102.7% of the labeled quantity of metformin.

The pharmaceutical products used in this study were previously evaluated to determine the drug content of each product, according to the British Pharmacopoeia Quality Specifications. They were declared pharmaceutical equivalents because the test product did not differ from the reference product by more than 5%.

Study subjects. The study was conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration and Resolution 8430 of 1993 by the Ministry of Social Protection. It was also approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Medicine, at Universidad de Antioquia. 24 subjects were recruited for this study, (10 male, 14 female; ages 21.2±2.1 years; weight 60.5±7.7 kg; height 1.67±0.08 m). Subjects were assessed healthy volunteers, after having been medically examined and clinically tested: complete blood count, urinalysis, blood biochemistry were normal, and HIV, hepatitis B, and pregnancy screenings (for women) were negative. All subjects were briefed on the bioequivalence study details and they all agreed and signed a written informed consent. All volunteers were free to leave the study at any time. The number of subjects was determined by the coefficient of variation from published data and by applying the method proposed by Zapater and Julious to obtain 80% power.

Clinical trial design. In order to evaluate the bioequivalence of the two metformin formulations, we performed a random, double blind, two-period crossover study. On the first dosing day, each subject took a metformin tablet of either test or reference formulations (850 mg of metformin) with 240 ml of water. After a 2-week wash out period, each subject took a tablet of the other product.

The volunteers for this study were admitted to the Corporación de Estudios en Salud clinic (CES) in Medellin (Colombia), and they were under direct medical supervision during 24 hours. The first day at 6:00 am, their urine samples were tested for alcohol and drug abuse. Subjects received formulations after 12 hours of fasting.

Standard breakfast, lunch, refection, and dinner were given at 2, 5, 8, and 11 hours after dosing. All meals were the same for both periods. Food with xanthines (such as chocolate), and carbonated drinks were not allowed.

Ten milliliter blood samples were taken through an indwelling cannula in heparinized tubes (Becton Dickinson, NY) before (0.0 h) and at 0.50, 1, 1.50, 2, 2.50, 3, 3.50, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 24 hours after drug administration. Samples were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 15 minutes and plasma was separated and stored at -20°C for analysis.

Analytical procedure and method validation. Metformin extraction from plasma was accomplished by the liquid-liquid extraction method proposed by Yuen et al. One half ml of plasma was vortex during 30 seconds in a screw-capped glass tube after adding 2 ml of acetonitrile to precipitate plasma proteins. After centrifugation (2500 rpm) for 5 minutes at 5°C, 2 ml of supernatant was transferred to another clean glass tube. The drug was extracted with 2 ml of the extraction solvent (n-hexan) and vortex for thirty seconds followed by centrifugation (2800 rpm) for 5 minutes. The organic phase was then transferred by aspiration to a clean glass tube. The extraction procedure was repeated with the remaining samples. A gentle air flow and a water bath were used to dry the organic phase. The residue was reconstituted in 400 µl of a mixture of KH₂PO₄ buffer 10 mM (pH 7.5) and acetonitrile (72:28, v/v), and filtered by using a vacuum pump. One hundred micro-litres of the sample were injected directly into a chromatographic system.

The HPLC system is comprised of an Agilent, model HP1100 (California, USA), pump, an Agilent diode array detector, and an auto-injector. The software package ChemStation (2000 Version) was used to
control the chromatographic system. The Analytical column was a LiChrospher C18 RP-Select B (Agilent, 250 mm, 4 mm ID, 5 µm particle size). The mobile phase consisted of dihydrogen phosphate buffer 0.01M (pH 7.5) and acetonitrile (40:60 v/v). The flow rate was 1.5 ml/min.

The method was validated following criteria established by FDA Guidelines. Calibration was linear in the concentration range of 62.5-2000.0 ng/ml, with an intra-day correlation coefficient of 0.9995 and inter-day of 0.9976. The intra-day and inter-day calibration curve showed consistent linearity, as seen by a slope coefficient of variation (CV) of 7.6% and 9.6%, respectively.

Other validation parameters were also fulfilled by this method. Intra-day precision was determined by replicate analysis (six times) of standard samples in plasma containing 125, 500, and 2000 ng/ml. Intra-day precision in this study, expressed as means of percent of CV, was 7.3%.

Inter-day precision was determined at six concentrations (62.5, 125, 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 ng/ml) in plasma, in six replicate runs (6 days). Inter-day precision in this study expressed, as the mean of CV was 12.3%. The limit of quantification based on CV smaller than 20% was 62.5 ng/ml; estimated on values obtained in intra-day and inter-day assays.

Two concentrations of metformin (250 and 1000 ng/ml-quality control (QC) samples in three replicates were used for stability studies, including freeze and thaw, short-term temperature and long-term stability; they fulfilled validation parameters. Standard curves were performed daily, over a 12-week period, with each volunteer’s plasma samples and showed consistent linearity (intercept, slope, and correlation coefficient).

**Pharmacokinetic analysis.** Pharmacokinetic data were calculated by non-compartmental method. The maximum plasma concentration (C\text{max}) and the time to reach it (T\text{max}) were determined by inspecting each individual plasma level-time curves. The elimination rate constant (k\text{e}) was obtained by ln-linear regression of the terminal decay phase. The area under the plasma level-time curve (AUC\text{0-\infty}) was obtained by the trapezoidal rule, and the AUC\text{0-T\text{max}} time was determined by dividing the last plasma concentration by k\text{e}, and adding this result to the AUC\text{0-96h}. The partial AUC (as an early exposure measure) was obtained by truncating the partial area at the population median of T\text{max} values for the reference formulation.

**Statistical analysis.** In order to assess the effects of treatment, period, sequence of administration, and subjects, ln-transformed data for AUC\text{0-\infty} and C\text{max} and non-transformed T\text{max} were evaluated by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the cross design (Statistica 6.0, Statsoft Inc, 2001).

The method suggested by Schuirmann and accepted by the FDA (known as the two one-sided tests) was used to evaluate whether these two formulations of metformin were bioequivalent. Bioequivalence was accepted if 90% confidence intervals for test/reference ratios of AUCs and C\text{max} fell in the range of 0.80-1.25. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

At the first period, all 24 subjects concluded the study without any adverse effects. However, in the second period, one subject presented diarrhea with the test product, another reported epigastric pain with the reference product. At the beginning of this period, a subject was excluded because she was taking antibiotics to treat a urinary infection. Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated for all 23 volunteers who ended the second period.

Concentrations over the quantification limit (62.5 ng/ml) were observed within 5 to 14 hours. Although blood samples were taken until 24 hours after drug administration, plasma levels were not detected at this time. The mean concentration profiles for the 2 formulations were quite similar, as observed in Figure 1.

To obtain paired data for 22 subjects, one of 23 was excluded randomly. All parameters had normality for intra-subject and inter-subject residues. However, two volunteers presented extreme outcomes: one had lower AUC\text{0-\infty} and early exposure area (AUC\text{0-T\text{max}}) values for the test as compared with the reference formulation; T\text{max} was also very different in this volunteer (test 5 hours, and reference 1.5 hours). The other one had higher AUC\text{0-T\text{max}} values for the test as compared with the reference formulation. The pharmacokinetic parameters for both formulations are shown in Table 1.

The mean values and 90% CI for the pharmacokinetic parameters compared are summarized in Table 2.
Table 1
Pharmacokinetic parameters after administration of 850 mg of test and reference formulations of metformin in healthy Colombian volunteers (n=22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Test mean (SD)</th>
<th>Reference mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cmax, ng/ml</td>
<td>1217.38 (251.72)</td>
<td>1305.25 (301.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax, h</td>
<td>2.57 (0.93)</td>
<td>2.22 (0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC0-96, ng.h/ml</td>
<td>6786.81 (1410.86)</td>
<td>7357.10 (1808.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC0-Tmax, ng.h/ml</td>
<td>1363.49 (315.51)</td>
<td>1584.82 (368.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC0-oo, ng.h/ml</td>
<td>7155.75 (1440.74)</td>
<td>7777.08 (1896.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1/2, h</td>
<td>2.62 (0.40)</td>
<td>2.77 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ke, h⁻¹</td>
<td>0.27 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA for AUC0-oo and Cmax, after logarithmic transformation of the data, revealed that none of the effects examined (formulation, period, within and between-subject variances and carry over) was statistically significant. The 90% CI was within the bioequivalence acceptable range from 80% to 125%, suggesting that both formulations are similar.

**DISCUSSION**

Although we planned a study with 24 volunteers, we had to exclude two of them; nevertheless, the power test
calculated by using the variability data obtained in the ANOVA analysis and the equations proposed by Julious\textsuperscript{7} revealed that a sample size of 22 volunteers was sufficient to reject bio-inequivalence (power 88.3\%).

The available pharmacokinetic data on metformin have shown that oral bioavailability, ranges from approximately 32\% to 61\%. The drug disposition exhibits multi-compartmental characteristics. Metformin is rapidly eliminated by the kidney by combined glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. Its metabolism and protein-binding in plasma are negligible. Studies with small numbers of patients suggest that bioavailability decreases with increasing dose\textsuperscript{12}. There are only scarce data on the relationship between plasma metformin concentrations and metabolic effects\textsuperscript{13}.

Pharmacokinetic parameters such as $k_e$, $t_{1/2}$, and $C_{\text{max}}$ present large differences when several papers are compared\textsuperscript{5,14,15} including the results obtained in this study and in another research we conducted\textsuperscript{16}. This variability could be explained by the metformin accumulation in the intestinal wall\textsuperscript{17}, the subject variation attributed to the drug transporter polymorphisms\textsuperscript{18}, and physiological factors, such as gastric emptying and small-intestine transit. Changes in the gastric emptying and intestinal transit of a metformin dosage form may alter absorption processes and, therefore, bioavailability\textsuperscript{19}.

The reference product reached slightly higher plasmatic levels than the test product and the amount absorbed seemed to be slightly greater (Figure 1). Although there was no significant difference for $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC$_{0-\infty}$ the T$_{\text{max}}$ parameter showed a higher inter-subject variability, with one subject being the most extreme case, who showed a T$_{\text{max}}$ for the test product of 5 hours, while for the reference product it was 1.5 hours. For this reason, the partial AUC$_{0-T_{\text{max}}}$ was measured.

The variability in T$_{\text{max}}$ had implications on the AUC$_{0-T_{\text{max}}}$ the 90\% CI calculated for this parameter was 78.9-93.5 \%, out the range of 80-125\%. We might explain this result by the drug class, because in the biopharmaceutical classification it belongs to a substance with high solubility and low permeability (Class III)\textsuperscript{20}. In a solution, the permeability may be the limiting factor for the absorption rate. Thus, the absorption kinetics should be ruled more by physiological conditions and the drug distribution than by factors related with the formulation\textsuperscript{21}.

The differences found in this study for the T$_{\text{max}}$ can be demonstrated because it was performed with one dose only; however, with the chronic administration and the achievement of a stable concentration, no clinical repercussions are expected for such difference.

Although several parameters are important at the time of a product interchange, according to the FDA, the extension of the absorption (AUC$_{0-\infty}$), and the $C_{\text{max}}$ are the key parameters to declare the bioequivalence.

**CONCLUSION**

The generic product metformin, tablets of 850 mg, is bioequivalent regarding AUC$_{0-\infty}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ when compared with the reference product (Glucophage\textsuperscript{®}).
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